

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/895,025	07/02/2001	Toshiaki Shinohara	210096US2	7453	
22850	7590 11/17/2004	EXAMINER			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			VU, QUANG D		
1940 DUKE S ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•	•		2811		
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Og/895,025			Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Ouang D Vu 2811 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time my be available under the provisions of 3 (76 R 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely fled after SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than they (30) says, a reply within the statutory minimum of thing (30) says will be considered fitted, after SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than they (30) says, a reply within the statutory minimum of thing (30) says will be considered fitted, after the statutory minimum of thing (30) says will be considered fitted, after the statutory of this communication. If they have the statutory minimum of thing (30) says will be considered fitted, after this communication. If they be status the statutor is statutory that the statutory minimum of thing (30) says will be considered fitted. Fallums to negly within the stat or extended present for reply will be statutory minimum of thing (30) says will be considered fitted. Fallums to negly within the statutory that the statutory minimum of thing (30) says will be considered fitted. Fallums to negly within the statutory that the statutory minimum of thing (30) says will be considered fitted. Fallums to negly within the statutory date of the statutory minimum of thing (30) says will be considered fitted. Fallums to negly satisfaction the statutory minimum of thing (30) says will be considered fitted. Fallums to negly satisfaction fitted communication. Fallums to negly satisfaction fitted the principle of the statutory minimum of thing (30) says will be considered fitted. Fallums to negly satisfaction fitted the statutory minimum of thing (30) says will be considered fitted. Fallums to negly satisfaction fit			09/895,025	SHINOHARA, TOSHIAKI		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extraining of time may be available under the procision of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled alter SIX (e) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (e) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (e) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failuse to reply which the size or estanded period for reply with the size of resply with the size of resploy with the size of the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any searned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1)∑ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 23 September 2004. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)∑ This action is non-final. 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)∑ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5b)∑ Claim(s) 5-10 is/are allowed. 6b)∑ Claim(s) 5-10 is/are allowed. 6c)∑ Claim(s) 5-10 is/are allowed. 6c)∑ Claim(s) 5-10 is/are allowed. 7c)☐ Claim(s) 5-10 is/are allowed. 7c)☐ Claim(s) 5-10 is/are allowed. 7c)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are allowed. 7c)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	Office Action Summary					
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repty be simely filled after Six (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for repty specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repty be simely filled after Six (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for repty specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In order to repty size the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for repty specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for repty specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for repty specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for repty specified above is less than thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Fallius for negly within the set of vesting and the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Fallius for negly within the set of statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for repty shall be considered timely. Priod the specification is FINAL. Set Status 1) May reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. 2) Claim(s) 1-11 is far pending in the application. 4) May Claim(s) 1-11 is far pending in the application. 4) May Claim(s) 1-11 is far pending in the application. 4) May Claim(s) 1-12 is far pending in the application. 4) May Claim(s) 1-12 is far pending in the application requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is			Quang D Vu	2811		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be variable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply spelling above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply which the statutory minimum of thirty (30) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Fealure to reply welfin the set or extended period for reply will be statuted under SIX (6) MONTHS from the waiting date of this communication. Fealure to reply welfin the set or extended period for reply will be statuted. General and several extended period for reply will be statuted. Several extended period for reply will be considered timely. 1)				the correspondence a	ddress	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be variable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period or perly specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Pallure to reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply which the set or extended period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will be set and RANDONED (3) SIX (5, \$133). Any reply received by the Office like than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.79(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 September 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) Application papers is a subject to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO		• •				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 September 2004. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep y within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered time HS from the mailing date of this of NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 4pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Status					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 4pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 S	eptember 2004.			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 6-10 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	3)□	• •			e merits is	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 6-10 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-5 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Dispositi	ion of Claims				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) 6-10 is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-5 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application				
5)	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
6) Claim(s) 1-5 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	· <u> </u>	· · · ——				
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			r election requirement.			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Applicati	ion Papers	÷ .		-	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,	•		the Examiner.		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				• •	FR 1.121(d).	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	11)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	-	-	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1	19(a)-(d) or (f)		
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 		-	priority under 55 5.5.5. § 1	13(a)-(a) 51 (1).		
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 	۵٫۱		s have been received		•	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				olication No		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		· · · ·	•		l Stage	
		·	•	CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	Clage	
	* 5			ceived.		
			·			
Attachment(c)	Attachma-	(t/a)				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)		• •	A) T Intonious Sur	mman/ (PTO 413)		
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/08/04. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	3) 🔯 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PT	O-152)	

Art Unit: 2811

7

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,291,065 to Arai et al. in view of US Patent No. 6,297,549 to Hiyoshi.

Regarding claim 1, Arai et al. (figure 10) teach a semiconductor device comprising: a semiconductor element (5);

a metal block (330) having a first surface and a second surface opposite to the first surface;

an aluminum wire (5g) having an electrode terminal joined to the first surface of the metal block (330); and

a ceramic substrate (301) having metal layers (312, 340) formed on both surfaces, one of the metal layers (312) joined directly by a first jointing material to the second surface of the metal block (330).

It is inherent that the semiconductor element (5) having an electrode for the I/O connection.

Art Unit: 2811

It is inherent that the semiconductor element (5) and the electrode are joined to the first surface of the metal block (330) through a second jointing material (a material layer is under the semiconductor element [5]) for the interconnection between the semiconductor element and the external device.

Arai et al. differ from the claimed invention by not showing the first jointing material being a metallic material. However, Hiyoshi (figures 2B-C) shows the first jointing material being a metallic material (copper plate [332] formed on the ceramic substrate [31] by metallic material such as silver brazing) (column 6, lines 61-65). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Hiyoshi into the device taught by Arai et al. because it increases the dissipated heat from the semiconductor device.

Regarding claim 3, Arai et al. teach the semiconductor element includes a plurality of semiconductor elements (5);

the metal block (330) and the ceramic substrate (301) are separated per insulation unit of at least one of the plurality of semiconductor elements (5);

the metal block (330) is provided to be in correspondence with at least one of the plurality of semiconductor elements (5); and

the ceramic substrate (301) extends over all of the plurality of semiconductor elements (5) for forming the insulation unit.

Regarding claim 4, Arai et al. inherently teach the metal block (330) includes a surface having a region larger than that of the second jointing material (a material layer is under the semiconductor element [5]), which is in contact with the metal block (330).

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 5, Arai et al. teach a gap between the metal block (330) and the semiconductor element (5) becomes wider as a distance from a center of the semiconductor element (5) becomes longer; and the gap is filled with the second jointing material (a material layer is under the semiconductor element [5]).

Regarding claim 11, Arai et al. teach the semiconductor element includes a plurality of semiconductor elements (5);

the metal block (330) and the ceramic substrate (301) are separated per insulation unit of at least one of the plurality of semiconductor elements (5);

the ceramic substrate (301) is provided to be in correspondence with at least one of the plurality of semiconductor elements (5); and

the metal block (303) extends over all of the plurality of semiconductor elements (5) for forming the insulation unit.

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arai et al. in view of Hiyoshi, and further in view of US Patent No. 5,488,256 to Tsunoda.

Regarding claim 2, the disclosures of Arai et al. and Hiyoshi are discussed as applied to claims 1, 3, 4, 5 and 11 above.

The combined device differs from the claimed invention by not showing the metal layers formed on the both surfaces of the ceramic substrate are the same with each other in thickness. However, Tsunoda (figure12B) shows the metal layers (metal layer [103] and metal wiring [104]) formed on the both surfaces of the ceramic substrate (102) are the same thickness (column 1, lines 42-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at

the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Tsunoda into the device taught by Arai et al. and Hiyoshi because it reduces stress caused in the interconnect substrate.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 6-10 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 09/23/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

It is argued, in page 7 of the remarks, that Arai et al. do not teach or suggest the first jointing material being a metallic material. This argument is not convincing because the combined device (Arai et al. and Hiyoshi) shows the first jointing material being a metallic material for the reason that is discussed above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang D Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-1667. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on 571-272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2811

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

qvu November 10, 2004

EDDIE LEE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

VICTINOLOGY CENTER 2800